

## **PLANNING COMMITTEE**

**3<sup>rd</sup> August 2021**

Present: Chairman: Deputy Mayor, Councillor Corbett

Councillors:	Bridewell	Burton	East
	Gay	Geddes	Giraud-Saunders
	Greenwood	Hopkins	Hunter
	Nash	Oliver	Parsons
	Pennington	Rose	Wallis
	Wooldridge		

Officers: Simon Fisher, Town Clerk  
Elanor Oddy, Office Manager

Members of the Public: 8

### **174. MINUTES**

The minutes of the meeting held on the 20<sup>th</sup> July 2021 having been circulated to all Members were confirmed and signed by the Chairman.

### **175. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies were received from Councillors Britten, Ormerod, Brown and Stevens

### **176. DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST**

Councillors Nash and Wallis both declared an interest in item 5, as members of Wiltshire Council's Eastern Area Regulatory Planning Committee.

### **177. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

Mrs James asked if she could address the Council when they discussed the agenda item dealing with double yellow lines in Sarum Drive.

### **178. FOR DECISION – WILTSHIRE COUNCIL'S NOTICE OF PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR CONSIDERATION**

PL/2021/07242	- No objection
PL/2021/05985	- As the applicant, the committee made no comment

PLANNING COMMITTEE  
3<sup>rd</sup> August 2021

- PL/2021/06658 - It was proposed by Councillor Greenwood, seconded by Councillor Bridewell and AGREED
- THAT the Committee objects to the application on the grounds of poor access from the application site onto the highway and therefore is not suitable for further development.
- PL/2021/06663 - It was proposed by Councillor Rose, seconded by Councillor Gay and AGREED
- THAT the committee objects to the application for a certificate of lawfulness on the grounds that it is believed there is a previous planning condition from 2007 prohibiting the use of the garage other than for a purpose incidental to the domestic use of the house
- PL/2021/06667 - It was proposed by Councillor Rose, seconded by Councillor Gay and AGREED
- THAT the committee objects to the application for a certificate of lawfulness on the grounds that it is believed there is a previous planning condition from 2007 prohibiting the use of the garage other than for a purpose incidental to the domestic use of the house
- PL/2021/06869 - No objection
- PL2021/06920 - It was proposed by Councillor Greenwood, seconded by Councillor Oliver and AGREED
- THAT whilst the committee had no objection because on balance the removal of the Bay Tree would assist with the important renovation of the building, when planning permission is finally granted for this work, it should include a requirement for two manageable sized Bay Trees to be included to the front of the building in some form.
- PL/2021/06949 - No objection
- PL/2021/07186 - No objection
- PL/2021/07276 - No objection
- PL/2021/07280 - No objection
- PL/2021/07324 - No objection

**179. FOR INFORMATION – WILTSHIRE COUNCIL’S NOTICE OF PLANS GRANTED OR REFUSED**

The committee received and noted the report.

**180. FOR DECISION – CONDITION OF THE LITTLE BRITTOX**

There was considerable debate and after a failed proposition to agree all the suggestions together the committee considered each suggestion independently.

It was proposed by Councillor Greenwood and seconded by Councillor East and AGREED

THAT That the Town Council requests action from Wiltshire Council Highways regarding paving in the Little Brittox;

It was also proposed Councillor Greenwood and seconded by Councillor East and AGREED

THAT officers investigate the cost of traditional lanterns at both ends of The Little Brittox,.

The suggestion that a sign be erected banning begging in the area was not taken forward as it would not add any further weight to laws that already prohibit begging.

**181. FOR DECISION – REVIEW OF WILTSHIRE COUNCIL’S GAMBLING STATEMENT OF LICENSING PRINCIPLES**

After a brief discussion, it was proposed by Councillor Greenwood and seconded by Councillor Oliver and unanimously AGREED

THAT the committee agreed with the proposed changes and were satisfied that the document as drafted was appropriate for its purpose.

**182. FOR DECISION – PARKING MANAGEMENT IN SARUM DRIVE**

Following a discussion on the merits of both Double Yellow Lines and “H Bars”, it was proposed by Councillor Nash and Seconded by Councillor Greenwood and unanimously AGREED

THAT the item be deferred until officers have sought clarification from the highway engineer as to where “H bars can be installed.

**183. QUESTION TIME**

There were no questions

CHAIRMAN